IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

Natalio Alfano Pe	erez, #240970,)	C/A NO. 3:08-105-CMC-JRM
Pe	titioner,)	OPWION LORDER
v.)	OPINION and ORDER
٧.)	
Jon Ozmint,)	
Re	espondent.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on Petitioner's *pro se* application for writ of habeas corpus, filed in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(c), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Joseph R. McCrorey for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On February 11, 2008, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the petition be dismissed without prejudice and without requiring the Respondent to file a return. The Magistrate Judge advised Petitioner of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Petitioner has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28

3:08-cv-00105-CMC Date Filed 03/10/08 Entry Number 12 Page 2 of 2

U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection.

See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that

"in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept

the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate

Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference

in this Order. This petition is dismissed without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file

a return.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina March 10, 2008

C:\Documents and Settings\Kgb07\Local Settings\Temp\notesE1EF34\08-105 Perez v. Ozmint e adopting rr dism wo prej.wpd

2